

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1459 Alexandra, Viguna 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10.014,730	12/10 2001	Muhammad Islam	TI-32699	5772
7:	590 06:20/2003			
Dan Swayze Texas Instruments Incorporated P.O Box 655474, M/S 3999			EXAMINER	
			MOTTOLA, STEVEN J	
Dallas, TX 75	265			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2817 DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Office Action Summary —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on June (, 2003 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application. \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above claim(s)\_ Claim(s)\_\_\_ Claim(s) /, 2, 4-// is/are rejected. 3\_\_\_\_\_is/are objected to. Claim(s) Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on 6 - 9 - 3 is /approved disapproved. The drawing(s) filed on\_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). Some\* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)\_\_\_ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). \*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)

Office Action Summary

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

S. Patent and Trademark Office **'0-326** (Rev. 9-97)

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 10/014,730

Art Unit: 2817

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1,4-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stoichita et al.

The language of the first Office action is herein incorporated by reference. In regard to the amendment and argument made, all transistors of stage 202 of fig. 2 of Stoichita et al., read as the first quad stage claimed, are NPN type bipolar transistors.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Art Unit:

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2,9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoichita et al. in view of Jones.

The language of the first Ofice action is incorporated herein by reference. No arguments against this rejection specifically have been presented.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The specific current gain formula claimed cannot be read on stage 208 of Stoichita et al., read as the second stage of claim 1.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 10/014,730 Page 4

Art Unit:

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Mottola whose telephone number is 703-308-4914. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Pascal, can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Stéven J. Mottola